

indefiniteness rejection, Applicants have amended claims 2 and 7-9. As a result of these amendments, claims 2-4 and 7-10 fully comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

Additionally, claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,141,196 to *Arnold et al.* In view of the cancellation of claim 1, without prejudice or disclaimer, the 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection of claim 1 is deemed moot.

The Examiner deemed claims 2-4 and 7 allowable if the § 112, 2nd paragraph rejection were overcome and if claims 5, 6, and 11 were rewritten in independent form. Applicants have so complied and respectfully request timely issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

FINNEGAN
HENDERSON
FARABOW
GARRETT &
DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.408.4000
Fax 202.408.4400
www.finnegan.com


PATENT
Customer No. 22,852
Application No.: 10/038,834
Filed: January 8, 2002
Attorney Docket No. 4269.0315-00

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: February 10, 2004

By:  Reg 24, OF
for Richard V. Burgujian
Reg. No. 31,744

625346_1

FINNEGAN
HENDERSON
FARABOW
GARRETT &
DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.408.4000
Fax 202.408.4400
www.finnegan.com